<u>Informing</u> Investment to reduce health Inequalities (III) in Scotland

Craig Collins, <u>Cheryl Denny</u>, Colin Fischbacher, Barbara Graham, Ian Grant, David McAllister, <u>Andrew McAuley</u>, Gerry McCartney, Rory Mitchell, Paul O'Hagan, Diane Stockton and Martin Taulbut



Acknowledgements

- NHS National Services (Information Services Division):
 - Richard Lawder, Catherine Thomson, Jill Ireland, Salomi Barkat, Laura Marchbank
- NHS Health Scotland:
 - Fiona Moore, Niamh Martin, Graeme Scobie
- Scottish Health Survey:
 - Julie Landsberg, Craig Kellock, Daniel Adams
- Scottish Household Survey:
 David Campbell
- Scottish Government:
- Alan Winetrobe
- Transport Scotland:
- Susan Roseburgh
- University of Glasgow:
- Carole Hart
- University of Stirling:
 Linda Bauld
- University of Aberdeen:
 - Lisa Iversen



The Scottish Public Health Observatory "Working to improve Scotland's health and reduce health inequalities"

Outline

- Background
- Aims
- Methods
- Results
 - 10 / 20 year
- Discussion
 - Strengths
 - Weaknesses
- Conclusions



The Scottish Public Health Observatory "Working to improve Scotland's health and reduce health inequalities"

Background

- Health inequalities:
 - "...the systematic differences in the health of people occupying unequal positions in society" (Graham, 2009)
- Occur across a range of social dimensions including income, social class, deprivation, caste, ethnicity and geography.
- Health inequalities in Scotland are wider than in the rest of West and Central Europe and increasing on many measures



Scottish Public Health Observatory "Working to improve Scotland's health and reduce health inequalities"

Reducing health inequalities

• Policy priority...

"reducing inequalities in health is critical to achieving the Scottish Government's aim of making Scotland a better, healthier place for everyone" (Scottish Government, 2008)

- Demand re 'what works'?
- Broad principles of inequalities reduction are understood, but...
 - there is a lack of quantitative evidence about the relative impact of specific interventions.



The Scottish Public Health Observatory "Working to improve Scotland's health and reduce health inequalities"

Aims

- 1. <u>To quantify</u> and model the capacity for a range of public health interventions to reduce health inequalities in Scotland, based on realistic scenarios for the delivery of downstream interventions to individuals in deprived groups.
- 2. <u>To compare such downstream interventions with universal,</u> population-level approaches in terms of their potential impact on population health & health inequalities.
- 3. <u>To augment</u> an existing suite of practical tools for informing decisions about how to reduce health inequalities in Scotland through the addition of further interventions and outcomes.
- 4. <u>To provide</u> decision-makers with comparisons of the effectiveness of differing strategies to tackle health inequalities.



Scottish Public Health Observatory "Working to improve Scotland's health and reduce health inequalities"

Methods

- Literature reviews
 - Interventions >>>> changes in <u>all-cause</u> mortality / hospitalisations
- Parametric models
 - Cumulative mortality (YLL) / hospitalisations (CIS)
 - Changes in inequality (RII)
- User tools
 - Excel-based
 - Allows variation of assumptions over short (2 year), medium (10 year) and long-term (20 year)



Informing I	nvestmen	nt to tackle health Inequalities in Sco	tland (III) - Smoking	Cess	^!			
					 Users can change the 			
What is the nature of the intervention? Scotland's n	ational smoking ce	ssation programme, which delivers universal smoking cessation	h delivers universal smoking cessation services across all NHS Boards. The mo			a geography of interest, the		
who could be reached is 74% (all those smokers who want to quit) (Source: Knowledge Attitudes and Motivations to health module of the Scottish Hea					th Su number of people to 'treat'			
					with the intervention			
1. Choose geography		Geography	Scotland		excent for tobacco tax and			
5 5 1 7		Intervention name	Smoking cessation		income w	horo thoso aro		
2. Enter number treated		Additional number of people treated	50000		mcome, w	liele tilese ale		
3. Choose targeting strategy		Targeting strategy	Proportionate to need	Interventi	"given") ai	nd the targeting		
		Total direct cost of intervention (£m, 2012 prices)	5.000	strategy I		ere.		
Baseline Information: Smoking Cessation		Model Outcomes (whole population)	2 years	10 years		20 years		
Baseline year	2012	Years of life gained	320		2490	451		
Age group	16+	Continuous inpatient stays prevented	191		1535	284		
Estimated no. of smokers (2012)	992425					20		
Of which, Q1 only:	298435	Model Outcomes (Most deprived SIMD quintile)	2 years	1	0 years	20 years		
Of which, Q1 & Q2:	539482	Years of life gained	129		947	103		
Fetimated no. emokers who want to guit	73/30/	Continuous inpatient stays prevented	09		J20	80		
Of which, Q1 only:	220842	Model Outcomes (comparative health inequalities)	2 years	1	0 vears	20 years		
Of which, Q1 & Q2;	399216	RII: Years of life lost (without intervention)	1,210		1.093	1.00		
		RII: Years of life lost (with intervention)	T 1.210		1.093	1.00		
Annual continuous inpatient stays (2012)	1082362	Rll: years of life lost (difference)	-0.0002		-0.0002	-0.000		
Of which, Q1 only:	270251	RII: continuous inpatient stays (without intervention)	0.6988		0.5870	0.473		
Of which Q1 & Q2:	508745	RII: continuous inpatient stays (with intervention)	0.6986		0.5868	0.473		
		RII: continuous inpatient stays (difference)	-0.0002		-0.0002	-0.000		
Annual cessation service quit attempts: (2008-12)	87400	Discontinue de la contener	0			00		
Of which, Q1 only:	32503	Direct financial savings	2 years	1	U years	20 years		
Uninch, Qr & Qz.	34203	Reduced continuous inpatient stays (cm) - all	0.3		3.0	1.0		
Direct financial costs of intervention		incodece commences inparent stays (All) - more	v.2			This pale blue section		
Cost per intervention (£, 2012 prices)	100	How are costs and financial savings estimated?			shows outcomes from the			
		The cost per smoking cessation intervention was estimated at £	98 in 2011, based on Evaluation of	auit4u. NH	model including years of			
Receive information on the		http://www.healthscotland.com/documents/5827.aspx). This h	as been adjusted to 2012 prices. (Geue et al.	mouel, mo	iuuing years or		
baseline IIIUIIIduoii uli ule		inpatient stay at £2113 in 2006/07 - this has been adjusted to 20	12/13 prices.		life gained, hospitalisations			
number of people 'at risk',					prevented	and comparative		
the plausible maximum					health inequalities. It also			
who might actually benefit from the intervention, and other summary information		Where do 1 get more information? The "Notes" tab describes the purpose of each worksheet. For more details, including a commentary, user guide and technical report please see: <u>http://w</u>			estimates the direct			
					financial savings from the			
					intervention			
is displayed here		L			mervenuc	<i>л</i> п.		

Interventions

- 1. Changes to taxation (1p on the Scottish rate of income tax, a 10% rise council tax)
- 2. Changes to benefits (a 10% increase in the value of job seekers' allowance and income support, a 10% increase in basic and 30-hour working tax credits)
- 3. Introduction of a 'living wage';
- 4. An increase in the level of tobacco tax;
- 5. Greater provision of smoking cessation services;
- 6. Greater provision of alcohol brief interventions (ABIs);
- 7. Greater provision of a 'Counterweight' weight management service;
- 8. Changes in levels of employment; and
- 9. Changes in the extent of active commuting (walking and cycling to work).



The Scottish Public Health Observatory "Working to improve Scotland's health and reduce health inequalities"







Modelled changes on mortality and inequalities after 10 years



Modelled changes on mortality and inequalities after 20 years





Modelled changes on hospitalisations and inequalities after 10 years

Modelled changes on hospitalisations and inequalities after 20 years



Discussion (1)

- Strengths
 - Uniquely compares impacts of a range of interventions across the determinants of health;
 - Utilises the best available evidence relevant to the Scottish context;
 - Assumptions can be varied as better evidence becomes available or as local contexts require;
 - Sensitivity analyses allow uncertainty around the estimates to be made explicit;
 - Significantly enhances the support available to decisionmakers when allocating resources and when planning interventions and policies to improve health and reduce health inequalities.



The Scottish Public Health Observatory "Working to improve Scotland's health and reduce health inequalities"

Discussion (2)

- Weaknesses
 - Limited number of modelled interventions;
 - Limited number of outcomes;
 - Impacts confined to the 'fixed cohort';
 - Limited evidence of differential impacts across population strata;
 - Reliance on observational and self report data



The Scottish Public Health Observatory "Working to improve Scotland's health and reduce health inequalities"

Conclusions

- III models provide a means for decision makers to understand the likely impacts of a variety of interventions on health and health inequalities.
- Interventions have markedly different effects on mortality, hospitalisations and inequalities.
- The most effective (and cost effective) interventions for reducing inequalities were regulatory and tax options.
- Interventions focussed on individual agency were much less likely to impact on inequalities



Thank you

<u>Informing</u> <u>Investment to reduce health</u> <u>Inequalities (III) in Scotland, main</u> report and intervention tools published at: <u>www.scotpho.org.uk</u>



Scottish Public Health Observatory "Working to improve Scotland's health and reduce health inequalities"